STATE PLAN COMMITTEE
REPORT TO
STATE COUNCIL ON
DEVELOPMENTAL
DISABILITIES

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

CYCLE 42 PDF GRANT(S)



# **Process Outline for Grant Cycle 42**

#### December 2018

• 12/13 : State Plan Committee (SPC) meets to determine recommendations for Grant Cycle 42 Process, Areas of Emphasis and RFP.

#### June 2019

- 6/03: Proposals Due
- 6/03-26: Admin Review Completed and Scoring Panel completes task
- 6/27: SPC Reviews Scoring Panel Recommendations

#### March-May 2019

- 3/12: State Council Approves SPC Recommendations of RFP Package for Cycle 42
- 3/18: Cycle 42 RFP Released
- By 5/7: SCDD Staff holds Pre-bidders Conference

#### **July 2019**

- 7/16: State Council Approves or Declines SPC Recommendations for Cycle 42 Recipients
- 7/17: Posting of Selected Grantees and opening of Protest Period
- 7/27: Close of Protest Period and Selected Grantees notified

## Grant Cycle 42 Timeline

Committee and Council developed funding priorities and policy themes within the RFP Package e.g.:

- State Plan Goal Focus Council approved to post RFP with a focus on any of the 6 Goal areas
- Scope of Work Council approved to have Cycle 42 be statewide grants with a dollar amount determined by the Executive Committee
- Scoring Sheet and Weight No adjustments were made to the scoring criteria
- 2. SCDD Executive Director selected the Scoring Panel
  - "The Scoring Panel members are qualified individuals within the State Council on Developmental Disabilities who have knowledge and/or experience in services related to the SCDD Program Development Grant process."
- 3. Council to approve <u>Recommendations on Project Selection</u> rather than Contract Document(s). Final Approval Motion will reflect the following language:
  - "To adopt the recommendations of the State Plan Committee and the proposed projects as described for Cycle 42 Grants and direct Executive Director Carruthers to implement the Council's approval."

NO PROCESS CHANGES FROM CYCLE 41

### **CYCLE 42 GRANTS**

## SCDD Received 40 Proposals Totaling \$6,821,354:

- 30 Proposals made it successfully through the Administrative Review Process and moved forward to the Scoring Team
- 10 Proposals did <u>not</u> make it successfully through the Administrative Review Process
  - 1 Failed Match
  - 2 Missing Copies
  - 2 Missing Personnel Information
  - 1 Missing Project Data Sheet
  - 4 Missing a combination of items above



# PROPOSAL EVALUATION SCORING SHEET

Score

Application No.:	Reviewer:
------------------	-----------

Item/Criteria	Points	
STATE PLAN: (36 points maximum	1)	
The degree to which the proposal advances the State Plan's Goal(s) and/or Objectives.	0-12	
Measurable outcomes are clearly identified and specifically address the State Plan Goals(s) and/or Objectives as identified by this proposal.	0-12	
The degree to which the proposal addresses system change and information dissemination and sustainability related to the State Plan Goal(s) and/or Objectives as identified by this proposal.	0-12	
METHODOLOGY: (34 points maximum	)	
The proposal uses a <u>sound methodology</u> for <u>achieving</u> the stated outcomes.	0-7	
The <u>target audience(s)</u> is clearly delineated and is appropriate to the proposal.	0-6	
The proposal outlines <u>how</u> it will address/impact underserved communities and cultural diversity.	0-7	
The proposal <u>describes</u> the types of deliverables to be provided.	0-7	
The proposal <u>describes</u> a sound programmatic procedure (with data collection and assessment analysis) as part of its bi-monthly reporting process.	0-7	

ADMINISTRATION/BUDGET: (30 points maximum)		
The proposed budget is appropriate for accomplishing the identified objectives and contains all elements for the proposed project that are required by this RFP.	0-10	
The applicant has <u>demonstrated</u> experience, knowledge, and potential to accomplish what is being proposed.	0-10	
The proposal <u>supports/promotes new and/or innovative</u> <u>approaches</u> to service delivery.	0-10	
TOTAL SCORE:	0-100	

A minimum score of seventy-five percent (75%) in each of the three (3) Criteria Areas (State Plan, Methodology and Administration/Budget) is required to be a considered for an award of a contract.

#### Please refer to Packet



#### **CYCLE 42 GRANTS**

#### State Plan Committee Recommendations

To State Council For July 16, 2019

The State Plan Committee is recommending the following Cycle 42 Grants:

<u>Location</u>	Goal Area	Applicant Name/Number	Dollar Amount
Statewide	Employment	CY4208	\$255, 348. 00
Statewide	Formal and Informal Community Supports	CY4220	\$155, 327. 00



#### State Plan Committee Recommendation to Council for Cycle 42 Grants

Total Dollar Amount of Proposal:	\$ 341,381.00	SCDD Funds: \$ 255,348.00
Applicant Number:	CY4208	Location: Statewide
Goal Area Proposed:	Goal 2: Employment	
Number of People Served:	Minimum of 5,000 total people served	d
Proposal Narrative:	Developmental Disabilities (I/DD), the competitive integrated employment (I/DD to write, cast, produce, record, esupportive digital content to help you allies to set the goal to achieve CIE. exploration and training is YouTube.  This project will create videos and other information, training and support to a and in a common media. The goal is	CIE). The goal is to employ young people with edit, audio-describe, caption, publish video and ng people with I/DD and their families/adult The primary resource most individuals use for

#### PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT GRANT RECOMMENDATIONS

#### Proposal Outcome/Output:

IFA 1: Individual and family Advocacy by increasing awareness of how to make decisions to work for a minimum of 5,000 people with developmental disabilities.

SC 1.3 Promising Practices: We are enhancing 4 best practices (telling personal stories to help youth with I/DD to visualize the possibility for work; providing work experience opportunities, building a network of adult allies; and developing interviewing skills through informational interviews.

The other goals in the State Plan addressed in this proposal are: Goal 1- Self Advocacy: Increased information and supports to advocate for civil and service rights to achieve self-determination, integration and inclusion in all areas of community life.

The delivery of the project elements:

- a. Creation of a Dedicated YouTube Channel
- b. Documentary Video of Youth Stars of CIE in California
- c. Video of Family and Business Partners
- d. Series of How-To Videos
- e. Creation of supplemental resources
- f. Webinars Focusing on strategies to obtain CIE.

Total Dollar Amount of Proposal:	\$222,790.00	SCDD Funds: \$155,327.00	
Applicant Number:	CY4220	Location: Statewide	
Goal Area Proposed:	Goal 6: Formal & Informal Community Supports		
Number of People Served:	Unlimited		
Proposal Narrative:	identify and define key service-lev support services, develop a Direct structure to support these outcome for collecting, analyzing and reporproject, the SCDD will have a blue based system that will truly impact. This project proposes to identify k measured to promote high-quality is understanding that any outcome is a workforce trained and capable the stated outcomes. To support to training needs and develop an outspecific to supporting DSP's to me components of outcomes to DSP a plan for how data can be collect the impact of outcomes-based trait. While this project does not seek to or the actual data collection module.	with diverse stakeholders, will seek to rel outcomes for employment and personal to Support Professional (DSP) training es and the workforce, and establish a plan ting outcomes data. At the conclusion of this eprint for moving California to an outcomest the lives of all individuals with I/DD.  ey service-level outcomes that can be service delivery. Critical to this, however, es developed will be hollow unless there of supporting individuals to meet his, this project will also identify the toomes-based training plan outline eet identified outcomes. To tie these training, they also propose developing ed, analyzed and reported to measure ining on service and individual outcomes. To develop the training curriculum for DSPs les, it is a critical step in developing an fornia through a collaborative stakeholder	

#### PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT GRANT RECOMMENDATIONS

Proposal Outcome/Output:	Each of the stated outputs seeks to develop and implement a new and promising best practice within the state- consistent with SC 1. 3. 17 - seeking to identify and develop an outcome measurement structure within California. The key outputs from the project to aid in this process are: the development and ongoing meeting of a diverse Advisory Group; identification of key service-level outcomes, development of an outcome-based DSP training structure, creation of a plan to collect and analyze outcomes data; and a comprehensive report outlining how these promising best practices can transition into best practices within the State.
	This project also seeks to outline and develop a plan to collect individual, family-based or other members of the individual's support circle data related to service quality through web-based surveying. This plan will include how best to gather data on the availability and satisfaction with personal and employment support services.

# CYCLE 42 REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL ALLOCATES \$450,000 FOR ONE OR MORE PROJECTS RELATED TO THE STATE PLAN

# 6/27/19 STATE PLAN COMMITTEE PASSED THE MOTION:

"Recommend adoption of the Scoring Team's recommendation to grant funding to applicant CY4208 (\$255,348) and CY4220 (\$155,327). The committee further recommends that the remaining \$39,325 be used to provide outreach and grant-writing training for future grant cycles."

"To adopt the recommendations of the State Plan Committee and the proposed projects as described for Cycle 42 Grants and direct Executive Director Carruthers to implement the Council's approval."

Thank you

## PROPOSED MOTION